### SEC. 10. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR OVER-NIGHT TRAVEL EXPENSES OF NA-TIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE MEM-BERS.

(a) DEDUCTION ALLOWED.—Section 162 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to certain trade or business expenses) is amended by redesignating subsection (p) as subsection (q) and inserting after subsection (o) the following new subsection:

"(p) TREATMENT OF EXPENSES OF MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENT OF ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES.—For purposes of subsection (a)(2), in the case of an individual who performs services as a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces of the United States at any time during the taxable year, such individual shall be deemed to be away from home in the pursuit of a trade or business for any period during which such individual is away from home in connection with such services."

(b) DEDUCTION ALLOWED WHETHER OR NOT TAXPAYER ELECTS TO ITEMIZE.—Section 62(a)(2) (relating to certain trade and business deductions of employees) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(E) CERTAIN EXPENSES OF MEMBERS OF RE-SERVE COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES.—The deductions allowed by section 162 which consist of expenses, not in excess of \$1,500, paid or incurred by the taxpayer in connection with the performance of services by such taxpayer as a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces of the United States for any period during which such individual is more than 100 miles away from home in connection with such services."

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

# SEC. 11. EXTENSION OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE USER FEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 77 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to miscellaneous provisions) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

# "SEC. 7527. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE USER FEES.

- "(a) GENERAL RULE.—The Secretary shall establish a program requiring the payment of user fees for—
- "(1) requests to the Internal Revenue Service for ruling letters, opinion letters, and determination letters, and
  - "(2) other similar requests.
  - "(b) PROGRAM CRITERIA.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The fees charged under the program required by subsection (a)—
- "(A) shall vary according to categories (or subcategories) established by the Secretary
- "(B) shall be determined after taking into account the average time for (and difficulty of) complying with requests in each category (and subcategory), and
  - "(C) shall be payable in advance.
- "(2) EXEMPTIONS, ETC.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide for such exemptions (and reduced fees) under such program as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.
- "(B) EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN REQUESTS REGARDING PENSION PLANS.—The Secretary shall not require payment of user fees under such program for requests for determination letters with respect to the qualified status of a pension benefit plan maintained solely by 1 or more eligible employers or any trust which is part of the plan. The preceding sentence shall not apply to any request—
  - "(i) made after the later of—
- "(I) the fifth plan year the pension benefit plan is in existence, or
- "(II) the end of any remedial amendment period with respect to the plan beginning within the first 5 plan years, or

- "(ii) made by the sponsor of any prototype or similar plan which the sponsor intends to market to participating employers.
- "(C) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of subparagraph (B)—
- "(i) PENSION BENEFIT PLAN.—The term 'pension benefit plan' means a pension, profit-sharing, stock bonus, annuity, or employee stock ownership plan.
- "(ii) ELIGIBLE EMPLOYER.—The term 'eligible employer' means an eligible employer (as defined in section 408(p)(2)(C)(i)(I)) which has at least 1 employee who is not a highly compensated employee (as defined in section 414(q)) and is participating in the plan. The determination of whether an employer is an eligible employer under subparagraph (B) shall be made as of the date of the request described in such subparagraph.

"(iii) DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE FEES CHARGED.—For purposes of any determination of average fees charged, any request to which subparagraph (B) applies shall not be taken into account.

"(3) AVERAGE FEE REQUIREMENT.—The average fee charged under the program required by subsection (a) shall not be less than the amount determined under the following table:

"Category Fee
Employee plan ruling and opinion . \$250
Exempt organization ruling ... \$350
Employee plan determination ... \$300
Exempt organization determination.
Chief counsel ruling ... \$200.

"(c) TERMINATION.—No fee shall be imposed under this section with respect to requests made after September 30, 2012.".

(b) Conforming Amendments.—

(1) The table of sections for chapter 77 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"Sec. 7527. Internal Revenue Service user fees.".

- $\left(2\right)$  Section 10511 of the Revenue Act of 1987 is repealed.
- (3) Section 620 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is repealed.
- (c) LIMITATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any fees collected pursuant to section 7527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by subsection (a), shall not be expended by the Internal Revenue Service unless provided by an appropriations Act.
- (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to requests made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

## SEC. 12. PARTIAL PAYMENT OF TAX LIABILITY IN INSTALLMENT AGREEMENTS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—
- (1) Section 6159(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to authorization of agreements) is amended—
- (A) by striking "satisfy liability for payment of" and inserting "make payment on", and
- (B) by inserting "full or partial" after "facilitate".
- (2) Section 6159(c) of such Code (relating to Secretary required to enter into installment agreements in certain cases) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by inserting "full" before "payment".
- (b) REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW PARTIAL PAYMENT AGREEMENTS EVERY TWO YEARS.—Section 6159 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively, and inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

"(d) SECRETARY REQUIRED TO REVIEW INSTALLMENT AGREEMENTS FOR PARTIAL COL-

LECTION EVERY TWO YEARS.—In the case of an agreement entered into by the Secretary under subsection (a) for partial collection of a tax liability, the Secretary shall review the agreement at least once every 2 years.".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to agreements entered into on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 13. PROTECTION OF SOCIAL SECURITY.

# AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, November 14, 2002 at 1 p.m. to hold a Members' Briefing.

#### Agenda

Briefers:

The Honorable Christina Rocca, Assistant Secretary for South Asian Affairs, Department of State.

The Hon. John S. Wolf, Assistant Secretary for Nonproliferation, Department of State.

Representative from the Central Intelligence Agency to be announced.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, November 14, 2002 at 2:30 to hold a nomination hearing.

### Agenda

Nominee:

Mrs. Mary Carlin Yates, of Oregon, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Chana

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be authorized to meet to conduct a markup on Thursday, November 14, 2002 at 10:00 a.m. in Dirksen Room 226.

### Tentative Agenda

I. Nomination:

Dennis Shedd to be a U.S. Circuit Court Judge for the Fourth Circuit.

Michael McConnell to be a U.S. Circuit Court Judge for the Tenth Circuit.

To be a U.S. Attorney: Kevin J. O'Connor for the District of Connecticut.

II. Bills:

- S. 2480, Law Enforcement Officers Safety Act of 2002 [Leahy/Hatch/Thurmond/Grassley McConnell/Feinstein/ DeWine/Kyl/Sessions/Brownback/Edwards/Cantwell].
- S. 1655, Captive Exotic Animal Protection Act of 2001 [Biden/Kennedy/Kohl/Feinstein/Feingold/Schumer/Durbin/Cantwell].

S. 2934, To Amend the charter of the American Legion [Johnson].

H.R. 3988, To Amend the charter of the American Legion [Gekas].

S. 2541, Identity Theft Penalty Enhancement Act of 2002 [Feinstein/Kyl/Sessions/Grassley].

H.R. 3180, To consent to certain amendments to the New Hampshire-Vermont Interstate School Compact [Bass].

S. 2520, Prosecutorial Remedies and Tools Against the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2002 [Hatch/Leahy/ Sessions/Brownback/Edwards/DeWine/ Grassley.

S. 3114, Hometown Heroes Survivors Benefits Act of 2002 [Leahy/Collins].

S. Con. Res. 94, A Sense of Congress that a National Importance of Health Coverage Month should be established [Wyden/Hatch/Grassley].

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, TERRORISM AND GOVERNMENT INFORMATION

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Technology, Terrorism and Government Information be authorized to meet to conduct a hearing on "America Still Unprepared—America Still in Danger" on Thursday, November 14, 2002, at 2 p.m. in room 226 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.

Witness List

Senator Warren B. Rudman, Co-Chair, Independent Terrorism Task Force Washington, DC.

Stephen E. Flynn, Member, Independent Terrorism Task Force, Senior Fellow, National Security Studies, Council on Foreign Relations, New York, NY.

Philip A. Odeen, Member, Independent Terrorism Task Force, Chairman, TRW Inc., Arlington, VA.

Col. Randy Larsen, Ret., Director, ANSER Institute for Homeland Security, Arlington, VA.

The PRESIDING OFFICER, Without objection, it is so ordered.

## PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. McCAIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that Joe Raymond, a Coast Guard fellow on the Senate Commerce Committee, be granted the privilege of the floor during consideration of the conference report to accompany S. 1214, the Port and Maritime Security Act.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. CLINTON. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that a fellow in my office, Dr. Leo Tressande, be given floor privileges.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SMALL WEBCASTER AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2002

Mr. REID. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to the consideration of H.R. 5469.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 5469) to amend title 17, United States Code, with respect to the statutory license for webcasting.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LEAHY. Madam President, I am pleased that the Senate is taking the important step of passing H.R. 5469, the "Small Webcaster Amendments Act of 2002." This legislation reflects hard choices made in hard negotiations under hard circumstances. I commend House Judiciary Chairman Sensenbrenner and Representative Conyers for bringing this legislation to a successful conclusion and passage in the House of Representatives in a timely fashion to make a difference in the prospects of many small webcasters.

The Internet is an American invention that has become the emblem of the Information Age and an engine for bringing American content into homes and businesses around the globe. I have long been an enthusiast and champion of the Internet and of the creative spirits who are the source of the music, films, books, news, and entertainment content that enrich our lives, energize our economy and influence our culture. As a citizen, I am impressed by the innovation of new online entrepreneurs, and as a Senator, I want to do everything possible to promote the full realization of the Internet's potential. A flourishing Internet with clear, fair and enforceable rules governing how content may be used will benefit all of us, including the entrepreneurs who want us to become new customers and the artists who create the content we

The advent of webcasting—streaming music online rather than broadcasting it over the air as traditional radio stations do-has marked one of the more exciting and quickly growing of the new industries that have sprung up on the Web. Many of the new webcasters, unconstrained by the technological limitations of traditional radio transmission, can and do serve listeners across the country and around the world. They provide music in specialized niches not available over the air. They feature new and fringe artists who do not enjoy the few spots in the Top 40. And they can bring music of all types to listeners who, for whatever reason, are not being catered to by traditional broadcasters.

We have been mindful on this Committee that as the Internet is a boon to consumers, we must not neglect the artists who create and the businesses which produce the digital works that make the online world so fascinating and worth visiting. With each legislative effort to provide clear, fair and enforceable intellectual property rules

for the Internet, a fundamental principle to which we have adhered is that artists and producers of digital works merit compensation for the value derived from the use of their work.

In 1995, we enacted the Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings Act, which created an intellectual property right in digital sound recordings, giving copyright owners the right to receive royalties when their copyrighted sound recordings were digitally transmitted by others. Therefore when their copyrighted sound recordings are digitally transmitted, royalties are due. In the 1998 Digital Millennium Copyright Act, DMCA, we made clear that this law applied to webcasters and that they would have to pay these royalties. At the same time, we created a compulsory license so that webcasters could be sure of the use of these digital works. We directed that the appropriate royalty rate could be negotiated by the parties or determined by a Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel or CARP—at the Library of Congress.

Despite some privately negotiated agreements, no industry-wide agreement on royalty rates was reached and therefore a CARP proceeding was instituted that concluded on February 20, 2002. The CARP decision set the royalty rate to be paid by commercial webcasters, no matter their size, at .14 cents per song per listener, with royalty payments retroactive to October 1998, when the DMCA was passed.

At a Judiciary Committee hearing I convened on this issue on May 15, 2002, nobody seemed happy with the outcome of the arbitration and, in fact, all the parties appealed. The recording industry and artist representatives feel that the royalty rate—which was based on the number of performances and listeners, rather than on a percentage-ofrevenue model-was too low to adequately compensate the creative efforts of the artists and the financial inof the labels. Many vestments webcasters declared that the per-performance approach, and the rate attached to it, would bankrupt small operations and drain the large ones. I said then that such an outcome would be highly unfortunate not only for the webcasters but also for the artists, the labels and the consumers, who all would lose important legitimate channels to connect music and music lovers online.

On appeal, the Librarian in June, 2002, cut the rate in half, to .07 cents per song per listener for commercial webcasters. Nevertheless, many webcasters, who had been operating during the four-year period between 1998 and 2002, were taken by surprise at the amount of their royalty liability. The retroactive fees were to be paid in full by October 20th and would have resulted in many small webcasters in particular, going out of business.

In order to avoid many webcasting streams going silent on October 20, when retroactive royalty payments are due, I urged all sides to avoid more expense and time and reach a negotiated